

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Office Action mailed September 8, 2005. At the time of the Office Action, Claims 1-20 were pending in the application. Applicant has amended Claims 1, 10-12 and 16 and cancelled Claims 9 and 15 without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicant further submits the following remarks for consideration.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-8 and 12-14 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Issa (U.S. 4,553,127).


Applicant has amended Claims 1 and 12 to include a signal receiver coupled to the continuity circuit. Issa teaches the use current limiting circuit which responds to the input of an access code which is input through the use of a key operated switch (Col. 4, line 65-66) or through conventional automotive anti-theft devices such as fender mounted key, a digital keyboard, a hidden toggle switch or the like. (Col. 4, lines 65-68 and Col. 5, lines 5-7). Issa teaches away from the use of a signal receiver as now claimed in amended Claims 1 and 12. Applicant respectfully requests allowance of Claims 1 and 12 and all dependent claims thereon.

The Examiner has further rejected Claims 9-11 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Issa (U.S. 4,553,127) in view of Carlo et al. (U.S. 5,397,925). The Examiner states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Carlo's transmitting and receiving system into Issa's because it would provide an override function for the alarm or anti-theft system.

Issa teaches away from the use of a transmitting and receiving system having an override function. Specifically, Issa teaches the use of an access code but does not provide any teaching wherein the access code can be bypassed or overridden. While Carlo's may teach the use of an override switch by having a second button on the transmitter which generates a different signal with the same number of pulses and same carrier frequency, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Issa and Carlo since Issa actually teaches away from and does not suggest use of an override or bypass. Therefore, Claims 1 and 12 would be allowable over Issa in view of Carlo. Applicant respectfully requests allowance of Claims 1 and

12 and all dependent claims thereon.

In light of the amendments and the attached remarks, Applicant believes this application is in condition for allowance and respectfully requests early allowance. Should the Examiner wish to discuss this matter by telephone in order to further advance the prosecution of this Application, Applicant invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney at (512) 306-8533 at the Examiner's convenience.



Raymond M. Galasso, Esq. (Reg. No. 37,832)

Galasso & Associates, LP

P. O. Box 26503

Austin, Texas 78755-0503

(512) 306-8533 - phone

(512) 306-8559 - facsimile